

EXHIBIT WRA____(KB-3)

RMP's Responses to WRA, OCS, and UTLCG
Data Requests

26-035-20 / Rocky Mountain Power
June 16, 2026

WRA Data Request 4.2

For each year in Mr. Mitchell's analysis (2022-2025, 2027, 2030), please provide the historical or projected annual quantity and monetized value of RECs produced, or forecasted to be produced, by the Marengo and Goodnoe Hills generating assets included in the proposed Washington sale. Please format this data to show the quantity and monetized value of RECs from these facilities allocated to each state for each year.

Response to WRA Data Request 4.2

Please refer to Confidential Attachment WRA 4.2 which provides the historical / actual renewable energy credits (REC) data regarding RECs produced by Marengo I, Marengo II and Goodnoe Hills during calendar years 2022 through 2025 (data based on REC vintage). Note: monetized value exists only for RECs sold.

For the projected / forecast 2027 megawatt-hours (MWh) for Marengo I, Marengo II and Goodnoe Hills, please first refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Ramon J. Mitchell, specifically Confidential Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3) Net Power Costs Reports, tab "Jan 2027 – Dec 2030 Pre-Sale", row 501 "Marengo I Wind", row 502 "Marengo II Wind", and row 498 "Goodnoe Wind". For the forecasted 2030 MWh for Marengo I, Marengo II and Goodnoe Hills, please refer to the tab "CY 2030 Forward Pre-Sale", row 501 "Marengo I Wind", row 502 "Marengo II Wind", and row 498 "Goodnoe Wind". Assume one renewable energy credit (REC) is equivalent to 1 MWh. Finally, please refer to Ramon Mitchell's Direct Testimony, specifically lines 128 and 129 which identify a REC of approximately \$6/MWh. Multiplying the forecasted wind MWh by the referenced price would provide the dollar value of the RECs from the resources at a total-company level. To allocate the total-company value to states, multiply the forecast generation by the system generation (SG) allocation factor for each state. For 2027 and 2030 allocation factors, please refer to confidential work papers supporting Ramon Mitchell, specifically confidential file "Factors 2027 CONF.xlsx" and "Factors 2030 CONF.xlsx", respectively.

Confidential information is provided subject to Public Service Commission of Utah (UPSC) Rules R746-1-601–606.

WRA Data Request 4.11

Net Power Costs. At lines 34-37 of his direct testimony, Mr. Mitchell states that “under forward-looking system normal conditions, the transaction leaves remaining customers strictly better off under a diverse spectrum of market, load, and generation conditions.” Please describe all input assumptions, sensitivities, scenarios, or variant studies Mr. Mitchell used to represent the “diverse spectrum” of the following elements:

- (a) Market conditions.
- (b) Load conditions.
- (c) Generation conditions.

Response to WRA Data Request 4.11

For a better understanding of “diverse spectrum” in the context of PacifiCorp witness, Ramon J. Mitchell, please refer to lines 34 through 38 of Ramon Mitchell’s Direct Testimony. Additionally, please refer to lines 149 through 164 as well as lines 221 through 238. Ramon Mitchell’s provided analysis in Confidential Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3) Net Power Costs Reports uses four years of historical data (2022, 2023, 2024, 2025) and two years of forecasted data (2027 and 2030). These datasets, as described in Ramon Mitchell’s Direct Testimony, provide an range of market, load, and generation conditions under normal seasonal conditions as well as historical extreme conditions.

- (a) Please refer to the preamble response provided above.
- (b) Please refer to the preamble response provided above.
- (c) Please refer to the preamble response provided above.

26-035-20 / Rocky Mountain Power
June 16, 2026

WRA Data Request 4.13

Did the Company perform a net present value revenue requirement analysis of the system with Washington load and generation assets and without Washington load and generation assets? If so, please provide the analyses. If not, please explain why not.

- (a) Did the Company perform any stochastic risk analysis representing system conditions in the pre- or post-sale periods?

Response to WRA Data Request 4.13

PacifiCorp assumes that the “net present value revenue requirement analysis” referenced by the Western Resource Advocates (WRA) is referring to PacifiCorp’s Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) modeling. Based on the foregoing assumption, PacifiCorp responds as follows:

No. The IRP modeling used by PacifiCorp to perform net present value of revenue requirements (PVRR) analysis is primarily intended to capture the impact on proxy resource selection of long-term changes to the planning environment over a 20-year horizon. The evaluation of near-term impacts to system costs from the proposed Washington transaction was conducted by other means.

Please refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Shelley E. McCoy for an analysis of the estimated impact of the Washington transaction on revenue requirement. Please refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Michael G. Wilding for an analysis of the effects of the Washington transaction on the system’s load-and-resource balance. Please refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Ramon J. Mitchell for an analysis of the impact of the Washington transaction on power costs.

(a) No.

26-035-20 / Rocky Mountain Power
August 21, 2026

WRA Data Request 9.2

What are the respective assumed useful or economic lives of Chehalis, Marengo I, Marengo II, and Goodnoe Hills generating facilities?

Response to WRA Data Request 9.2

Per the Company's most recently approved depreciation study, the current depreciable lives for Chehalis, Marengo I, Marengo II and Goodnoe Hills are summarized below:

Plant	Retirement Date	Note
Chehalis	2043	40-year depreciable life
Marengo I and Marengo II	2049	30-year depreciable life from date of repowering
Goodnoe Hills	2049	30-year depreciable life from date of repowering

26-035-20 / Rocky Mountain Power
May 29, 2026
OCS Data Request 2.23

OCS Data Request 2.23

Refer to the Direct Testimony of Ramon Mitchell. Provide the derivation of the calculations at the following locations of his testimony:

- (a) Observed wholesale market prices, p. 2, l. 40.
- (b) Average megawatts, p. 5, ll. 98-103.
- (c) Renewable energy credit of \$6/MWh, p. 7, l. 129.
- (d) 209 MW of Natural gas energy at a cost of \$47/MWh, p. 7, l. 130.
- (e) An average MW of contract energy at a cost \$87/MWh, p. 7, l. 132.
- (f) The wholesale market price of \$64/MWh, p. 7, l. 133.
- (g) Forecasted wholesale prices ranging from \$54/MWh to \$105/MWh, p. 9, l. 164.
- (h) Aggregate annual unit cost increases and decreases at p. 12, ll. 213 – 217.

Response to OCS Data Request 2.23

Referencing the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Ramon J. Mitchell, specifically the native version of Confidential Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3), the Company responds as follows:

- (a) Please refer to confidential exhibit “26-035-20 RMP CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3)”, tab “aMW Delta Analysis Historical”, column D, which provides monthly dollars per megawatt-hour (\$/MWh) for short-term firm (STF) purchases procured by the Company in calendar years 2022 through 2025.
- (b) Please refer to confidential exhibit “26-035-20 RMP CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3)”, tab “aMW Delta Analysis Forecast”, cells H27, I27 and J27.
- (c) The renewable energy credit (REC) price of \$6/MWh is the Company’s estimate of average, prevailing REC prices based on qualitative analysis.
- (d) Please refer to the Company’s response to subpart (b) above. Additionally, please refer to the referenced confidential work paper and tab, specifically cell F27.

26-035-20 / Rocky Mountain Power
May 29, 2026
OCS Data Request 2.23

- (e) Please refer to confidential exhibit “26-035-20 RMP CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3)”, tab “aMW Delta Analysis Forecast”, cell G27.
- (f) Please refer to confidential exhibit “26-035-20 RMP CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3)”, tab “aMW Delta Analysis Forecast”, cell D27.
- (g) Please refer to confidential exhibit “26-035-20 RMP CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3)”, tab “Delta Model Forecast”, cell range M14:M25; specifically, the cells for which the corresponding values in cell range L14:L25 are less than \$0.00/MWh.
- (h) Please refer to confidential exhibit “26-035-20 RMP CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit RMP__(RJM-3)”, tab “aMW Delta Analysis Historical”, cell range H52:H56.

UTLCG Data Request 7.1

Refer to Company witness Ramon J. Mitchell's direct testimony, lines 240-252, and Exhibit RMP__(RJM-4) addressing renewable energy credits (REC):

- (a) Provide the 2024 and 2025 total Company produced RECs.
- (b) Confirm that the cost of compliance with Washington's Clean Energy Transformation Act's (CETA) requirement that a utility's electricity supply be generated from at least 80% non-emitting sources by 2030 is the responsibility of Washington customers. If not confirmed, please explain in detail why Washington state energy policy and associated costs should be the responsibility of customers in other states.
- (c) Provide the documentation, work papers, and spreadsheets with formula intact supporting the calculations and values in Exhibit RMP__(RJM-4).
- (d) Confirm that each state is entitled to a proportionate share of the balance of RECs in the Company's accounts each year based on the system generation (SG) allocation factor. If not confirmed, provide (1) a detailed explanation why not and (2) the allocation of RECs among PacifiCorp's six-state service territory in calendar years 2024 and 2025.

Response to UTLCG Data Request 7.1

- (a) The total-company produced renewable energy credits (REC) for calendar year 2024 are 15,530,461 RECs, and for calendar year 2025 are 18,158,988 RECs.
- (b) Please refer to paragraphs 7 and 8 of Rocky Mountain Power's Application for the Company's position on the allocation of compliance costs with Washington's energy policy.
- (c) Tab "State Summary" is a summary tab for Confidential Exhibit RMP__(RJM-4) Renewable Energy Credits Report. For supporting work papers for tab "REC Benefit Summary", please refer to the Company's response to WRA Data Request 4.3. Tab "CY 2027 Pre-Sale" is the megawatt-hours (MWh) from the 2027 forecast assuming no Washington sale occurs. Please refer to the confidential work papers supporting the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Ramon J. Mitchell,, specifically confidential folder "_NPC Reports", confidential file "Base 2027 Hedged CONF.xlsm". Tab "CY 2027 Post-Sale" is the MWh from the 2027 forecast assuming Washington sale occurs on January 1, 2027. Please refer to the confidential work papers supporting Ramon Mitchell's Direct Testimony, specifically confidential folder "_NPC Reports", confidential file "Washington 2027_01012027 Hedged CONF.xlsm". Tab "2030 Forward Pre Sale" is the MWh from the 2030 forecast assuming no Washington sale occurs. Please refer to the confidential work papers supporting Ramon Mitchell's Direct Testimony, specifically confidential folder

26-035-20 / Rocky Mountain Power
June 17, 2026

“_NPC Reports”, confidential file “Base 2030 Hedged CONF.xlsm”. Finally, tab “2030 Forward Post Sale” is the MWh from the 2030 forecast assuming the Washington sale occurs. Please refer to the confidential work papers supporting Ramon Mitchell’s Direct Testimony, specifically confidential folder “_NPC Reports”, confidential file “Washington 2030 No PPA Sale or BA Hedged CONF.xlsm”.

(d) No. The Company does not allocate RECs solely based on the system generation (SG) allocation factor. Rather, RECs are assigned on a resource-specific basis in accordance with each resource’s applicable cost allocation methodology. These methodologies include:

- System generation (SG): Six-state allocation (California, Washington, Oregon, Utah, Idaho, Wyoming).
- Control Area Generation West (CAGW): Three-state allocation (California, Washington, Oregon).
- Situs: Single-state allocation

Under the above framework, each state receives RECs in proportion to the applicable allocation methodology associated with the underlying resource, rather than a uniform SG-based allocation.

Please refer to Attachment UTLCG 7.1 which provides detailed allocation of RECs among PacifiCorp’s six-state service territory for calendar years 2024 and 2025.

Resource Name	2024 REC Allocation	2025 REC Allocation
Adams Solar Center	CAGW	CAGW
Anticline Wind, LLC	SG	SG
Ashton	SG	SG
Bear Creek Solar Center	CAGW	CAGW
Bend	SG	SG
Big Fork	SG	SG
Black Cap Solar	Situs OR	Situs OR
Blundell I	SG	SG
Blundell II	SG	SG
Bly Solar Center	CAGW	CAGW
Bogus Creek	Situs CA	Situs CA
Boswell Wind	SG	SG
Campbell Hill	SG	SG
C-Drop Hydro	Situs OR	Situs OR
Cedar Creek	SG	SG
Cedar Springs Wind I	SG	SG
Cedar Springs Wind II	SG	SG
Cedar Springs Wind III	SG	SG
Cedar Springs Wind IV	Not online yet	SG
Central Oregon Siphon Power Project - SPP	Situs OR	Situs OR
Chiloquin Solar LLC	SG	SG
City of Albany Hydro	Situs OR	No REC Entitlement
City of Astoria Hydro (Bear Creek)	Situs OR	Situs OR
Clearwater 1	SG	SG
Clearwater 2	SG	SG
Copco 1	SG	Resource expired
Copco 2	SG	Resource expired
Cutler	SG	SG
Dillard Power Plant	SG	SG
Dunlap I	SG	SG
Eagle Point	SG	SG
East Side	SG	SG
Ekola Flats Wind	SG	SG
Elbe Solar Center	CAGW	CAGW
Enterprise Solar, LLC	CAGW	CAGW
Fall Creek	SG	SG
Farm Power Misty Meadow	Situs OR	Situs OR
Fish Creek	SG	SG
Foote Creek I	SG	SG
Foote Creek III	SG	SG
Foote Creek IV	SG	SG
Galesville Dam	Situs OR	Situs OR
Glenrock I	SG	SG

Glenrock III	SG	SG
Goodnoe Hills	SG	SG
Grace	SG	SG
Granite	SG	SG
Gunlock	SG	SG
Hayward Paul Luckey	Situs CA	Situs CA
High Plains	SG	SG
Hill Air Force Base	SG	SG
Iron Gate	SG	Resource expired
J Bar 9 Ranch	Situs WY	Situs WY
JC Boyle	SG	Resource expired
Juniper Ridge Hydroelectric Facility	Situs OR	Situs OR
Klamath Falls Solar 2 - Ewauna - West	SG	SG
Lake Siskiyou (Box Canyon)	Situs CA	No REC Entitlement
Last Chance	SG	SG
Latigo Wind Park	SG	SG
Leaning Juniper I	SG	SG
Lemolo 1	SG	SG
Lemolo 2	SG	SG
Marengo	SG	SG
Marengo II	SG	SG
McFadden Ridge	SG	SG
Meadow Creek Wind Farm - Five Pine Project	SG	SG
Meadow Creek Wind Farm - North Point Wind Farm	SG	SG
Merwin	SG	SG
Mid-Columbia Hydro (Grant PUD)	SG	SG
Mountain Wind Power	SG	SG
Mountain Wind Power II	SG	SG
NorWest Energy 9, LLC - Pendleton Solar	SG	SG
Old Mill Solar, LLC	Situs OR	Situs OR
Oneida	SG	SG
OR Solar 2, LLC - Agate Bay	SG	SG
OR Solar 3 LLC - OR3 - Turkey Hill	SG	SG
OR Solar 5 LLC - OR5 - Merrill	SG	SG
OR Solar 6 LLC - OR6 - Lakeview	SG	SG
OR Solar 8 LLC - OR8 - Dairy	SG	SG
Orchard Windfarm 1	SG	SG
Orchard Windfarm 2	SG	SG
Orchard Windfarm 3	SG	SG
Orchard Windfarm 4	SG	SG
Oregon Solar Incentive Program Units	Situs OR	Situs OR
OSLH, LLC - Oregon Solar Land Holdings - Collier	SG	SG
Panguitch	Situs UT	Situs UT
Paris	SG	SG

Pavant Solar, LLC	CAGW	CAGW
Pioneer Hydro	SG	SG
Pioneer Wind Park	SG	SG
Prospect 1	SG	SG
Prospect 2	SG	SG
Prospect 3	SG	SG
Prospect 4	SG	SG
PSEG Solar Utah, LLC - Pavant II	SG	SG
Rock Creek I Wind	Not online yet	SG
Rock Creek II Wind	Not online yet	SG
Rock River I	SG	SG
Rolling Hills Wind	SG	SG
Sage I	SG	SG
Sage II	SG	SG
Sage III	SG	SG
Sand Cove	SG	SG
Seven Mile Hill I	SG	SG
Seven Mile Hill II	SG	SG
Skysol	Situs OR	Situs OR
Slate Creek	Situs CA	Situs CA
Slide Creek	SG	SG
Soda	SG	SG
Soda Springs	SG	SG
St Anthony Hydro LLC	SG	No REC Entitlement
Stairs	SG	SG
Swalley Irrigation District - Ponderosa	Situs OR	Situs OR
Sweetwater	SG	SG
Swift 1	SG	SG
TB Flats I	SG	SG
TB Flats II	SG	SG
Three Sisters Irrigation District McKenzie Reservoir	Situs OR	Situs OR
Three Sisters Irrigation District Watson Hydro	Situs OR	Situs OR
Three Sisters Irrigation District Watson Micro	Situs OR	Situs OR
Toketee	SG	SG
Top of the World - Top of the World	SG	SG
Tumbleweed Solar LLC	SG	SG
Veyo	SG	SG
Viva Naughton	SG	SG
Wallowa Falls	SG	SG
Weber	SG	SG
Weed Cogen - Weed Powerhouse	Situs CA	Situs CA
West Side	SG	SG
Wolverine Creek	SG	SG
Woodline Solar, LLC	SG	SG

Yale

SG

SG